



May 23, 2008

Sent via email

Eric Johnson
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 8, 8ENF-T
999 18th Street, Suite 300
Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for April 2008 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the April 2008 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments) John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S)



May 23, 2008

Sent via U.S. Mail

Glenn Rogers, Chairman. Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe 6060 West 3650 North Ivins, Utah 84738

John Krause
Bureau of Indian Affairs Phoenix Area Office
U.S. Department of Interior
P.O. Box 10
Phoenix, AZ 85001

Kelly Youngbear BIA Southern Paiute Agency P.O. Box 720 St. George, UT 84771

RE: Progress report for April 2008 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID

No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Rogers, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the April 2008 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at polader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)

John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S) (w/o attachments)

Eric Johnson (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 48 for period ending April 30, 2008; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington County, Utah

Summary

The monthly visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on April 12. No unusual conditions were noted.

Discussion

Surface Monitor Results To Date — The settlement monitoring monuments were surveyed by Alpha Engineering on March 12. Since monitoring of the top surface began (Jan 4, 2006), there has been no appreciable movement in the surface monuments at the Apex site. There are no concerns to date with settlement. As expected with long-term consolidation, the data shows that settlement rates are decreasing over time.

Erosion repair was started March 19 and concluded March 21. The primary area of repair was the northern edge of the area. The entire site was revegetated between March 24 and March 26.

Work Planned for Next Period

Visual inspection of site

Settlement monument survey - quarterly basis

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in April 2008 were \$253. Total project to date committed is approximately \$1,286,000.

Supplemental Attachments

April 2008 site inspection report April 2008 cost report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date:	12-08		Address of the State of the Sta	
Inspector:				,
Cover System Component	or System Potential Problem		Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded
Site Perimeter	Erosion or	r Fencing Issues	NA .	NA
	Subsidenc		Minor: ponding < 1" some gullying / erosion	Yes > 10 No
	Judiadii	•	Significant: see Table 2	Yes • No - €
	Embankm	nent Slope Stability	excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes No <u>4</u>
		on top	depth > 1"	van + Na X
Cover System (outslopes, top,		at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	Yes No 🕻
rock)	Gullying	w/in normal flow channel in diversion channel	no gullying allowed	Yes No _K
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no gullying allowed	Yes* No <u></u>
		in diversion channel at any other location	NA NA	NA
	Erosion Protection Stability		rock subsiding or missing	Yes 'No X
	Seepage		no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/ crystalfization)	Yes 'No ່≫
	Diversion (Channel	rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes & · No
Runoff Control System	Diversion S	Swales	rock in place, no silting in or head cutting	Yes % * No
	Excessive slit build up at fence lines in diversion channel		allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes 💥 No

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

	Inspection Date: 4-12-04	-	
	Inspector: Trump		
	Visible Outlying Areas		•
Observed Condition:	No problems.		
Observed Damage:	New-		· ·
		May require repair: Yes _	. No A
	Property Boundary Fence and Gate (walk fence	line)	
Observed Condition:	Feren And 515 or in good Repair		
Observed Damage:	New -		
Potential Corrective Actions:	Mrw-		
·		May require repair: Yes _	No *
	All Upgradient Areas (areas that drain onto prop	perty)	
Observed			
Condition:	Ne problem		
Observed Damage:	Near	·····	
		May require repair: Yes	. No 20

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - impoundment

Inspection Date: 1-12-68					
Outslopes					
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence: Yes No X	May require repair: Yes* No			
	Excessive Slope Movement (failure): Yes No	May require repair: Yes No _&			
·	Gully Development: Yes _ No x (Fixed)	May require repair: Yes No			
	Observable Leachate (colored): Yes No Y	May require repair: Yes No 4			
	Excessive Siltation (at slope toe): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No _\footnote{\infty}			
Observed Damage:	Junte				
Potential Corrective Actions:	14CM =				
	Top (top surface soils)				
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width): Yes No 🏠	May require repair: Yes No _X			
	Settlement / Evidence of Ponding: Yes No	May require repair: Yes No 🛨			
	Erosion / Gullying: Yes No Y	May require repair: Yes* NoY			
Observed Damage: N	che				
Potential Corrective Actions:	Uw-				
	Erosion Protection Layer (rock)				
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying in Place: Yes V No	May require repair: Yes* No*			
	Rock Subsiding: Yes No Y	May require repair: Yes No			
	Missing Rock: Yes No 👱	May require repair: Yes* No 👱			
Observed Damage: 1	ICN-				
Potential Corrective Actions:	runt				

mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

Date:	4-12-04 TRUMAN			
Inspector:	Trump			
		Diversion Chan	nel	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes <u>n</u> No	May require repair: Yes	_, № ₹
	Normal Flow Channel in place	: Yes 7 No	May require repair: Yes	No X
	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes No 🔽	May require repair: Yes	No <u>}</u>
Observed Damage:	lone			
Potential Corrective Actions:	(UN-			-
		Diversion Swal	les	Contr
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes 🚩 No	May require repair: Yes	No 🗡
	Flow Channel Silting In:	Yes _ No 💆	May require repair: Yes	No X
	Head Cutting:	Yes No 🗸	May require repair: Yes	_, _{No}
Observed Damage: N	v~-			
Potential Corrective Actions:	loner			

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Committed Cost this Period	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date 4-30-08	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases I through III (Completed February 2006)							·
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928	
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000		242,882	0	242,882	
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
Fleid Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	0	378,517	Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
Hecla Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	0	33,324	
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	manus A., gangga ayar manuskala (100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
Long Term Monitoring (through FY 2010)							
Site inspections			253	5,211	2,044		
Settlement Monitoring				5,400	4,725	10,125	
Consultant Support:							
Armual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections Vegetation Monitoring			D	2,495	18,100 20,000	20,595	Includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Site Conditions Review - MEI				6,474	3,327		Allowance for surveys in FY 2008 - 2010
Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical				2,079		2,079	The state of the s
Erosion Repair Review - MEI				2,927	573		
Revegetation Review - Bamberg					3,500		
Maintenance: Erosion Repair Allowance				21,941	7,500	29.441	Erosion repair conducted April 2008
Revegetation Allowance				9,912	10,000		Revegetation conducted April 2008
Hecia Project Management Costs: Labor				2,266	7,909	10,175	
Travel expenses			0	2,200	1,312		
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	253	58,705	78,996	137,695	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	253	1,286,098	78,990	1,365,088	

FYIU.

---- Forwarded by Ericr Johnson/ENF/R8/USEPA/US on 06/16/2008 07:32 AM --



"Paul Glader" <pglader@hecla-mining.com

To Ericr Johnson/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

05/23/2008 01:44 PM

Subject Apex - April Monthly

CC



Apex Pond 2 - progress rpt 48 complete, april 2008.pdf